



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,049	04/14/2006	Martin Beck	29827/41950	8929
4743	7590	03/20/2009		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			EXAMINER	
			CHAPMAN, GINGER T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/576,049	BECK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ginger T. Chapman. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James J. Napoli. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: video -3:32 min.sec; copyright BASF Experimental product and regular product; video of two hydrogels side by side, added to aqueous solution. Left side product exhibiting exhibiting top-down and bottom-up solidification/gelling; right-side product exhibiting top-down solidification/gelling under timed conditions.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Engelhart (US 4,931,497); Lepere (WO 98/42193).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During a telephone call with Applicant's representative, the gelling properties and solidification time of the instant hydrogel were discussed along with the instant claimed hydrophobic compound component and prior art compounds; also discussed was incorporating claim language clarifying the gelling/solidification properties in structural language serving to disinguish the claimed invention over the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/G. T. C./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3761

/Tatyana Zalukaeva/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761